

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,147	01/12/2001	Daniel A. Babbs	ASYS8102US0MEM	2364
23910	7590 07/08/2002			
	DUBB MEYER & LO	EXAMINER		
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			KEENAN, JAMES W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/760,147

Applicant(s)

Babbs et al

Examiner

James Keenan

Art Unit **3652**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	in the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	TO EVAIDE 1 MONTH(S) EROM
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MIONTR(S) TROM
- Extens	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
If the r	l date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
. Failure	beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	on is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
-	tion of Claims	
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-14</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
*5	application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) [
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachn	nent(s)	
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Ir	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

i w.

Application/Control Number: 09/760147

Art Unit: 3652

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a reticle management system with a transfer mechanism, classified in class 414, subclass 277.
 - II. Claims 12-14, drawn to a reticle management system with a reticle sorter, classified in class 209, subclass 509.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as sorting reticles. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/760147

Art Unit: 3652

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is (703) 308-2559.

jwk

July 8, 2002

JAMES W. KEENAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER